Enabling 10 mol/kg swing capacity via heat integrated sub-ambient pressure swing adsorption

Eli Carter, Stephen DeWitt, Jongwoo Park, Hector Rubiera Researchers

> Ryan P. Lively Principal Investigator

Yoshiaki Kawajiri, Matthew J. Realff, David S. Sholl, Krista S. Walton

Co-Principal Investigators Georgia Institute of Technology School of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering Atlanta, GA 30332

> Bruce Lani Program Manager, DOE-NETL

DOE-NETL CO₂ Capture Technology Project review Meeting Thursday, August 11th, 2016



Georgia School of Chemical & Tech Biomolecular Engineering



Combine: Sub-ambient gas processing and **(i)** energy recovery with (ii) ultra-porous metal-organic frameworks and (iii) space- and energy-efficient fiber sorbent contactors to yield a game-changing process strategy

Background: Metal-organic frameworks—State-of-the-art



TM McDonald, JR Long et al., *Nature*, 2015, 519, 303-308
 GE Cmarik, KS Walton et al., *Langmuir*, 2012, 28(44), 15606-15613
 JM Simmons, T Yildirim et al., *Energ. Env. Sci.*, 2011, 4(6), 2177-2185



Georgia School of Chemical & Tech Biomolecular Engineering

Background: Hollow fiber sorbents, a mass producible structured sorbent inspired by hollow fiber membrane spinning



[1] RP Lively, WJ Koros et al., Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2009, 48(15), 7314-7324

Georgia School of Chemical & ⊿ Tech∐Biomolecular Engineering

Background: Fiber sorbents for PSA applications



Project scope—details on key ideas

- Rapid pressure swing adsorption is more straightforward than rapid temperature swing adsorption (has been commercialized)
- Sub-ambient conditions increase adsorption selectivity and working capacity
- Immense pore volume and surface area of MOFs are advantageous at sub-ambient conditions and moderate CO₂ partial pressures (~1-2 bar)
- Weaknesses of MOFs addressed through contactor (hollow fiber sorbents) and through process strategy



Ex/onMobil



Process flow diagram: 1st generation



Process Scope—Key Research Topics

Five major activity areas are proposed in this work:

- (1) UiO-66 / MOF synthesis, sub-ambient adsorption characterization, and stability,
- (2) Composite hollow fiber spinning (cellulose acetate/polysulfone fibers containing UiO-66 / MOF sorbents),
- (3) RCPSA system construction and testing of fiber sorbent modules and hollow fiber sorbent modules with bore-side phase change material,
- (4) Modeling and optimization of fiber and hollow fiber module operation as well as flue gas conditioning optimization, and
- (5) Overall system techno-economic analysis.

Process Scope—Key Topics, BP1

- Five major activity areas are proposed in this work for BP1:
- Task 2.0: Generate >250 g/quarter of UiO-66, sub-ambient sorption isotherms, and simple fiber sorbents
- Task 3.0: Spin fiber sorbents
- Task 4.0: Stability of module seals at sub-ambient conditions
- Task 5.0: Develop model for hollow fiber sorbent module
- Task 6.0: RCPSA Testing and construction

Task 2—sorption isotherms, experimental



UiO-66 can achieve impressive swing capacities at sub-ambient conditions—but higher pore volumes needed for 10+ mol/kg

Task 2—sorption isotherms from GCMC



Task 2—sorption isotherms from GCMC



Task 2, 3: UiO-66 scale-up and hollow fiber sorbents



ZIF-8

0



Water stable MOFs retain crystallinity and porosity after fiber sorbent spinning

Task 2,3: What about water-sensitive MOFs?



Georgia | School of Chemical & Tech || Biomolecular Engineering

Task 2,3: What about water-sensitive MOFs?

Task 2,3: What about water-sensitive MOFs?

MOFs with water stability issues can now be integrated into fiber sorbents! Operational stability is less of an issue as flue gas is dehydrated + substantial industry experience with water sensitive sorbents (e.g., LiX)

Task 4, 6—Rapid pressure swing adsorption

Sub-ambient breakthrough experiments on UiO-66 and UiO-66 fiber sorbents currently underway

Task 4: Dynamic model development

 Combined heat, mass, and momentum balance on the fiber, including transient heat conduction w/ heat source

Dynamic model guides experiments and RCPSA cycle development

Task 4: Dynamic model development

 Combined heat, mass, and momentum balance on the fiber, including transient heat conduction w/ heat source

Dynamic model guides experiments and RCPSA cycle development

Process Scope—Key Topics, BP1

Five major activity areas are proposed in this work for BP1:

Task 2.0: Generate >250 g/quarter of UiO-66, sub-ambient sorption isotherms, and simple fiber sorbents—**Complete**

Task 3.0: Spin fiber sorbents—Complete

Task 4.0: Stability of module seals at sub-ambient conditions— Ongoing, 60% (sub-ambient exposure completed, leak rate of module seals ongoing)

Task 5.0: Develop model for hollow fiber sorbent module— Complete

Task 6.0: RCPSA Testing and construction—Ongoing, 80% complete (remaining items: breakthrough curves for UiO-66 powders and fibers).

Summary

- Novel polymer/MOF sorbent composite hollow fibers will be used in new sub-ambient RPSA process for post-combustion CO₂ capture
 - 50% experimental demonstration
 - 50% prediction, modeling, optimization, and economic feasibility analysis
- Viability of concept is being demonstrated
 - Potential for game-changing swing capacities by utilizing MOFs in sub-ambient conditions
- Georgia Tech and Inmondo Tech are partners on this project
- Annual reports, annual review meetings and conferences presentations and quarterly reports will be used to update DOE on team activities
- DOE contribution: ~\$2.0M
 Georgia Tech contribution: ~\$0.5M

Budget

DOE Contribution 1st year: \$705,441 2nd year: \$681,845 3rd year: \$599,698 Total: \$1,986,984 (79%)

Cost Share Provided by Georgia Tech: \$513,792 (21%)

Total Budget: \$2,500,776

5 primary researchers supported (2 post-doctoral researchers, 3 graduate student researchers)

5 PIs supported (Lively, Kawajiri, Realff, Sholl, Walton)

Major equipment purchases/construction: Sub-ambient rapid pressure swing adsorption units

Personnel

Principal Investigators:

Georgia Tech

Ryan Lively, Project Director, Inmondo liaison, hollow fibers and RCPSA system

Yoshiaki Kawajiri, Process optimization, cyclic adsorption processes

Matthew Realff, Process systems engineering, technoeconomic analysis

David Sholl, Adsorption and diffusion in nanoporous materials

Krista Walton, Adsorption in MOFs and MOF synthesis

Inmondo Tech Dr. Karen Tuleg, Inmondo PI, Sorption and gas storage

<u>Risks</u>

Description of Risk	Probability (Low, Moderate, High)	Impact (Low, Moderate, High)	Risk Management Mitigation and Response Strategies	
Technical Risks:	, , ,			
MOFs do not exhibit ~10 mol/kg swing capacity between 1 and 2 bar CO_2 partial pressure	Moderate	Moderate	 (a) Technoeconomic analysis and modeling effort will determine impact of > 10 mol/kg swing vs. 5-10 mol/kg swing. (b) Other scalable MOFs can be considered if >10 mol/kg is critical 	
MOF particles do not survive spinning process	Low	High	If required, MOFs can be grown within porous polymer supports post-spinning. Preliminary data from Lively indicates that MOFs retain their porosity and crystallinity post-spinning.	
Failure of sealing for fiber modules	Low	Moderate	If required, specialty epoxies resistant to temperature changes will be used to seal modules.	
Instability of MOF to flue gas contaminants	Low	High	Functionalized MOFs will be tested, providing various materials for use. Additional flue gas processing can be used if necessary.	
Resource Risks:		-		
Delays in production of MOFs by Inmondo Tech	Low	High	MOFs will be produce in excess of minimum requirement in year 1 to ensure availability. Capability to deliver materials in this manner has already been demonstrated.	
Management Risks:				
Difficulty in recruiting postdocs/grad students at GT	Low	Moderate	Shift personnel between tasks to manage temporary vacancies	
Lack of coordination among project partners	Low	Moderate	Project partners already have a proven record of collaboration; regular project meetings are scheduled with all partners	

BP 1 Task List & Milestones

Budget Period	Task/Subtask No.	Milestone Description	Planned Completion	Actual Completion	Verification Method
1	1	Updated Project Management Plan	08/31/2015		Project Management Plan file
1	1	Kickoff Meeting	10/01/2015		Presentation file
1	2.1	Produce 250+ g of UiO-66 @ >900 m ² /g surface area and >2.5 mol CO_2 /kg @ 273K & 1 bar	01/31/16		Report to DOE
1	2.2	Generate sub-ambient isotherms	04/30/16		Report to DOE
1	2.3	Syringe fibers using UiO- 66	04/30/16		Report to DOE
1	3	Spin monolithic fibers	09/30/16		Report to DOE
1	4	RCPSA module construction & seal testing	09/30/16		Report to DOE
1	5	Bare fiber module model development	04/30/16		Report to DOE

BP2 Task List & Milestones

2	6	Test PSA using syringe fiber samples	04/31/17	Report to DOE
2	7	Produce 250+ g of UiO-66 @ >900 m ² /g surface area and >2.5 mol CO_2 /kg @ 273K & 1 bar	01/31/17	Report to DOE
2	8	MOF moisture and acid gas test (SO2 and steam exposure)	09/30/17	Report to DOE
2	9	Lumen layer synthesis and barrier properties	04/31/17	Report to DOE
2	10	Demonstrate hollow fiber lumen layer synthesis	09/30/17	Report to DOE
2	11	PCM integration into modules	09/30/17	Report to DOE
2	12	Model development of fibers with PCM	09/30/17	Report to DOE
2	13	Modeling phase change and adsorption using experimental data	09/30/17	Report to DOE
2	14	Process flowsheet optimization	09/30/17	Report to DOE

BP3 Task List & Milestones

3	15	Process flowsheet refinement	06/30/18	Report to DOE
3	16	Produce 250+ g of UiO-66 @ >900 m ² /g surface area and >2.5 mol CO_2/kg @ 273K & 1 bar	01/31/18	Report to DOE
3	17	Construct/test RCPSA for dirty gas testing	04/30/18	Report to DOE
3	18	Model validation for hollow fiber module— model validation for composite fibers	04/30/18	Report to DOE
3	19	Monolithic fiber sorbent stability in dirty gas RCPSA	06/30/18	Report to DOE
3	20	Test hollow fibers containing phase change material in PSA	09/30/2018	Report to DOE
3	21	Complete Technoeconomic assessment	09/30/2018	Report to DOE
3	22	Test a demonstration module	09/30/2018	Report to DOE

Initial high level process analysis using 10 mol/kg swing

- Plant parasitic load: 16.7%
- Installed capital costs: \$188.2 x 10⁶
- Total annual cost (operating expenses + amortized capital): \$72.8 x 10⁶/yr
- CO_2 captured per year: 4.50 x 10⁶ tons CO_2 /yr
- CO₂ capture cost: \$19.0/ton, \$21/tonne
- Number of 8" module elements needed: 36,000

 Estimated footprint (assuming modules stacked 10 high): ~200 m² for a 500 MW_e coal-fired power plant